

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 05/23/2001 09/863,718 Rick Korczak 47176-00693USP1 1494 7590 **EXAMINER** 02/23/2004 Eric D Cohen, Esq. BAXTER, GWENDOLYN WRENN WELSH & KATZ, LTD ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 120 South Riverside Plaza 22nd Floor 3632 Chicago, IL 60606 DATE MAILED: 02/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•					
,		Application No.	Applicant(s)	_	
		09/863,718	KORCZAK ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Gwendolyn Baxter	3632	, 	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address:		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13  SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the provision of	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed  /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communic  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.	
Status					
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 D</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		ts is	
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□	<ul> <li>✓ Claim(s) 1-70 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>✓ 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) 1-50,52-61,65 and 70 is/are allowed.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) 51,62 and 66-69 is/are rejected.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) 63, 64 is/are objected to.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>				
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er			
•	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	•	
Attachmen		4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(/PTO_413)		
2)	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D			

Art Unit: 3632

This is the fourth office action for serial number 09/863,718, Stackable Transmission Line Hanger, filed on May 23, 2001. This application is a Continuation-In-Part of 09/430,496, October 29, 1999 now Patent 6,354,543; which is a Continuation-In-part of 09/229,843, January 12, 1999.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 66-69 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is no support in the originally filed specification or drawings for a front peripheral surface of the support structure at a distance from the opening "acting like outriggers to create a pivot point or line for the hanger when side loaded."

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC§ 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 V.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

<sup>(</sup>b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 09/863,718

Art Unit: 3632

(e) the invention was described in-

(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351 (a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section

122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

(2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Claim 51 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,393,021 to Nelson. The present invention reads on Nelson as follows: Nelson teaches a line hanger having a generally U-shaped body (40) with arms which grip a line (12), distal ends of which arms have barbs (34) structured to snap lock onto an edge of an opening in a line support (30). Each barb has an edge engaging surface that is notched (28).

Claim 62 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,257,530 B 1 to Tsai. Tsai teaches a snap in line hanger having a generally U-shaped body (20) with arms which grip a line (30). The distal ends of the arms (23) have barbs (231) structured to snap lock onto an edge of an opening in a line support (10). Each of the hanger arms has rigid means (232) structured to abut an opposite surface of the edge from that engaged by a barb and create a fixed pivot point or line for the hanger when side loaded.

#### Allowable Subject Matter Claims

1-50, 52-61, 65 and 70 are allowed.

Claims 66-69 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Application/Control Number: 09/863,718

Art Unit: 3632

Claims 63 and 64 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter of independent claims 40, 45 and 57: the prior art of record fails to teach the stacking provision located in a region where the arms are joined and configured to retentively engage a second hanger supporting a second line or an outwardly extending brace being rigid and structured to dig into the opposite surface when the hanger is side loaded.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the grounds of rejection.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Page 5

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gwendolyn Baxter whose telephone number is 703-308-0702. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Wednesday, 8:00am -5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leslie A Braun can be reached on 703-308-2156. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (tollfree).

GB February 21, 2004